

MEMORANDUM

Community Development



City of Bothell

DATE: February 25, 2020

TO: Landmark Preservation Board (LPB)

FROM: Sarah Desimone, Historic Preservation Consultant

SUBJECT: Landmark Preservation Board meeting agenda and supporting materials for February 25, 2020.

The next LPB meeting will be held on February 25, 2020 at 6:00pm as scheduled. The board will be making a motion on the Findings, Conclusions and Recommendation for the proposed amendments to Bothell Municipal Code Title 22 and discussing the *Bothell Then & Now* book update. This memo transmits to the Board the following information:

Att-1 Agenda for February 25, 2020

Att-2 Draft Minutes from December 17, 2019 regular meeting

Att-3 Findings, Conclusions and recommendation for the proposed Title 22 Amendments

Att-4 Then & Now comments from LPB meeting on April, 2017

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO BOTHELL MUNICIPAL CODE TITLE 22

Purpose/Action

Board will make a motion to either approve, approve with modifications, or not approve the Findings, Conclusions and Recommendation for the proposed Title 22 amendments as prepared by staff.

Background

On September 27, 2016, the board initiated a review of Title 22 as it pertained to historic districts in response to the nomination of the Graham-Fries local historic district. The Graham-Fries nomination was originally submitted with 100% owner consent and approved by the board as such. However, before the nomination was scheduled to go before City Council, one of the property owners withdrew consent. The current landmark preservation code requires that district nominations need only a simple majority for owner consent but does not specify how district controls would apply to properties that do not give consent. As a result, staff pulled the nomination from the City Council agenda until the details could be worked out and the code could be amended.

On March 28, 2017, the board held a study session to review Title 22 and discuss possible amendments. After the study session, the board instructed staff to incorporate their comments into proposed amendments and return for a final review.

Board reviewed and discussed proposed Title 22 amendments at public meetings held on October 22, 2019 and November 26, 2019.

Staff prepared Findings, Conclusions and Recommendation based on board deliberations at the meetings held on October 22, 2019 and November 26, 2019 and the joint study session with the Planning Commission on November 20, 2019.

Proposed Amendments

The proposed code amendments would strengthen the provisions for historic preservation in Bothell, including:

- a. Clarify and strengthen the language regarding the implementation of local historic districts
- b. Expand the language to include specifics about obtaining consent for individual and district local register nominations
- c. Expand the language to include specific requirements of local register designation for both individual and district nominations
- d. Modify the process by which demolition permits for historic buildings are issued to ensure thorough documentation of demolished resources and remove the requirement that applicants provide a consideration of alternatives to demolition for properties that are not listed and/or do not meet the requirements to be listed on the local register.
- e. Changing the designation criteria to allow properties that are less than fifty years old to be nominated to the local register if they are of exceptional importance or an integral part of an eligible historic district
- f. Removing references to the National Register of Historic Places and the Washington Heritage Register per DAHP request

Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends approval of the Findings, Conclusions and Recommendation as presented.

Relevant Attachments:

Att-3 Findings, Conclusions and Recommendation for the proposed Title 22 Amendments

BOTHELL THEN & NOW BOOK UPDATE

Purpose/Action

No action will be taken at this meeting. Staff is requesting some direction on how to proceed with the book update.

Background

Bothell Then & Now was published in 2009 to coincide with the city's 100th anniversary. The book includes "then and now" images and text for parts of Main Street and downtown; local, state and national landmarks; and thematic sections on schools, transportation, events, etc.

McMenamins Anderson School sells the books in their gift shop and while sales have slowed, books are still selling regularly. Landmark Board currently has 74 books remaining (20 of which will be dropped at McMenamins this week) plus two labeled “display copy.” For reference, McMenamins sold ?? books in 2019.



In 2017, the board decided to initiate an update to the book as so much has changed in the ten years since it was printed. Staff contacted the previous book designer, North Creek Designs, who was initially interested in working on the update but has since moved and changed careers. Staff has the original Adobe InDesign files that can be used as a starting point which should help keep the design costs low.

The Board applied for a Snohomish County Heritage Grant in 2019 based on the fact that Snohomish County content would be added to the book but their application was rejected. Staff notes that printed book projects have not always been viewed as strong grant projects in recent years because digital content is preferred.

Previous Discussions

April, 2017 the board went through each chapter and discussed what they would like to see changed, updated and/or removed. Staff prepared notes based on that discussion (Att-3). At the April 2017 meeting, board members each chose a chapter or topic to research as a supplement to staff hours. Board members also decided on a cover design: a split photograph of Main Street like the one on the wall in conference room 107/108 with colors that reflect those in the newer photo.

Next Steps

Margaret Turcott brought a sample of a Then & Now book from Arcadia Publishing and suggested that the Board consider using Arcadia for a second edition. The format would be significantly different but Arcadia pays for all of the printing and does all of the marketing for the books once they have been published. <https://www.arcadiapublishing.com/series/then-and-now-books>

The positives associated with using Arcadia are that the book could be written and published in a much shorter timeframe without having to apply for grant funds; many Arcadia books have been written on local history and they are well-known; the book could include more new content as it would not be bound by the original design files (new graphic design would be cost-prohibitive).

The negatives associated with using Arcadia are that the book would not be physically similar to the first edition and that Arcadia books are not really known for their accuracy as they are not always written by professionals.

Staff is projected to have approximately 130 hours of time to devote to research and writing. Additionally, some of the book content will most likely be gathered during the course of other projects and some has already been collected.

Staff would like direction on the following topics:

- Should staff pursue the idea of publishing with Arcadia rather than working on an independent book?
- Should the new book be an updated version or a new book with some of the content carried over from the original book?
- If the book is not an update, which topics should be included in the book?



City of Bothell™

A G E N D A

LANDMARK PRESERVATION BOARD

**City Hall, 18415 101st Ave NE., Bothell, WA 98011
Conference Room 107/108
Regular Meeting Tuesday, February 25, 2020 6:00pm**

I. CALL TO ORDER

II. NON-AGENDA PUBLIC COMMENTS

III. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

December 17, 2019 regular minutes

IV. NEW BUSINESS

A. Welcome new board members

V. OLD BUSINESS

A. Findings, Conclusions and Recommendation for the Title 22 Amendments

B. *Bothell Then & Now* book update

VI. REPORTS

VII. REPORTS FROM STAFF

VIII. OPEN TOPIC DISCUSSION

IX. ADJOURNMENT

DRAFT MINUTES
LANDMARK PRESERVATION BOARD
Regular Meeting – Tuesday, December 17, 2019 6:00 pm
Location: City Hall, Conference Room 107/108
18415 101st Ave NE, Bothell, WA 98011

I. CALL TO ORDER

Cary Westerbeck called the regular meeting of the City of Bothell Landmark Preservation Board to order at 6:14 pm. In attendance were members **Vicki Somppi, Bill Moritz** and **Ray Thomas**. **Sharron Dimmitt** was absent, unexcused and **Sean Gehrke** was absent, excused. The meeting was staffed by **Sarah Desimone**, Historic Preservation Consultant.

Guests in attendance were Cole Harrison, Land Project Manager for Lennar NW and Sarah Amell, Principal Investigator for Aqua Terra Cultural Resource Consultants

II. PUBLIC COMMENTS

None

III. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

MOTION by Moritz to approve the November 27, 2019 regular minutes with one spelling correction.

SECOND by Somppi

No further discussion

APPROVED unanimously

IV. NEW BUSINESS

A. Consideration of Alternatives to demolition for the Canyon Park Orchard property, 23305 39th Ave. SE, Snohomish County, Bothell, WA

Sarah Amell and Cole Harrison gave a brief presentation about the project and the historic property report. Harrison presented Lennar's consideration of alternatives noting that offering the building for \$1.00 to be moved off the property is their preferred alternative. They have also contacted Earthwise Salvage and there are a number of items that could be salvaged. Finally, they provided a very detailed historic property report documenting the property.

A discussion regarding the building's location in a category 3 wetland ensued. Harrison noted that the project could not be redesigned to accommodate the building because they cannot build in the wetland where the building is located.

LANDMARK PRESERVATION BOARD
Minutes – Tuesday, December 17, 2019

Westerbeck stated that he would like to see some form of interpretive signage to commemorate the Graham family and other previous owners. Somppi agreed noting that there are very few interpretive signs in developments in Snohomish county. In terms of content, she would like to see pictures, a map and some discussion about the property's association with the logging industry in addition to family and building information. Thomas would like to see some pre-contact history included on the signage.

Somppi noted one correction in the historical report: the Snohomish county parts of Bothell were annexed in 1992 (p.6). The report implies that the city grew organically to the north.

Amell asked about sign content. Board referred her to examples including the Securite Gun Club sign and Red Brick Road park. Staff has provided examples to Amell and Harrison.

MOTION by Somppi to close the consideration of alternatives to demolition and enter a mitigation agreement to include interpretive signage and salvage according to the bid from Earthwise Salvage.

SECOND by Thomas

No further discussion

APPROVED unanimously

V. OLD BUSINESS

A. Proposed 2020 Work Plan

MOTION by Somppi to approve the 2020 work plan as presented by staff and forward it to City Council as part of the 2020 Planning Docket.

SECOND by Moritz

No further discussion

APPROVED unanimously

VI. REPORTS

A. Westerbeck reported that he finished construction of his building on Friday and is working with the owners of Vital Spirit to have it installed on the building. It will either be on the south or west facades. Desimone suggested that it should be nominated for the Bothell Register of Historic Landmarks if the owners agree. Board members agreed.

B. Somppi shared a project she has been working on with a local citizen to create a barefoot park in Bothell. She has been advising the interested party to create a plan and apply for grant funds to have it installed at a local park. Somppi noted that this would be a great place to incorporate Native American art and history potentially in conjunction with the honoring native lands project.

VII. REPORTS FROM STAFF

A. Planning Commission is holding a public hearing tomorrow night on the Downtown Subarea and Historic Resources regulations.

B. A new tenant will be modifying the former Steve's Café storefront but so far no restoration is planned for the building.

LANDMARK PRESERVATION BOARD
Minutes – Tuesday, December 17, 2019

VIII. OPEN TOPIC DISCUSSION

A. None

IX. ADJOURNMENT

MOTION by **Moritz** to adjourn the meeting at 7:03 pm.

SECONDED by **Thomas**

APPROVED unanimously

**CITY OF BOTHELL
DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
18415 101st Ave NE, Bothell, WA 98011
(425)806-6404**

**Findings, Conclusions and Recommendation
Concerning the proposed amendments to
Bothell Municipal Code Title 22 Landmark Preservation**

CODE AMENDMENT REQUESTED BY: Bothell Landmark Preservation Board

GEOGRAPHIC LOCATION: City-wide

MEETING DATE: February 25, 2020

ACTION: Recommend approval of the proposed Title 22 amendments

DATE: February 25, 2020
Cary Westerbeck, Chair Landmark Preservation Board

Attachments:

1. Proposed Amendments to BMC Title 22

FINDINGS

1. History and General Description:

At their regular meeting on September 27, 2016, the Landmark Preservation Board initiated a review of Title 22 as it pertained to historic districts in response to the nomination of the Graham-Fries local historic district. The Graham-Fries nomination was originally submitted with 100% owner consent and approved by the board as such. However, before the nomination was scheduled to go before City Council, one of the property owners withdrew consent. The current landmark preservation code requires that district nominations need only a simple majority for owner consent but does not specify how district controls would apply to properties that do not give consent. As a result, staff pulled the nomination from the City Council agenda until the details could be worked out and the code could be amended.

The Landmark Preservation Board held a study session on March 28, 2017, to review Title 22 and discuss possible amendments. After the study session, the board instructed staff to incorporate their comments into proposed amendments and return for a final review.

Due to a number of leadership changes within the Community Development department, work on the proposed amendments was postponed until 2019 when the board included Title 22 Amendments in their work program. Staff has since been working with the Community Development Director and City Attorney on amendments to Title 22 which now include more than just the historic district language and are intended to strengthen and clarify Bothell's Landmark Preservation regulations as a whole.

3. Geographic location and land area:

The proposed amendments would apply City-Wide

4. Council initiation and direction:

The City Council initiated the Code amendments as part of the 2018 Planning docket.

5. Proposed Action.

The proposed code amendments would strengthen the provisions for historic preservation in Bothell, including:

- a. Clarify and strengthen the language regarding the implementation of local historic districts
- b. Expand the language to include specifics about obtaining consent for individual and district local register nominations
- c. Expand the language to include specific requirements of local register designation for both individual and district nominations
- d. Modify the process by which demolition permits for historic buildings are issued to ensure thorough documentation of demolished resources and remove requirement that applicants provide a consideration of alternatives to demolition

- for properties that are not listed and/or do not meet the requirements to be listed on the local register.
- e. Change the designation criteria to allow properties that are less than fifty years old to be nominated to the local register if they are of exceptional importance or an integral part of an eligible historic district
 - f. Remove references to the National Register of Historic Places and the Washington Heritage Register per DAHP request

6. Landmark Preservation Board Deliberations

- a. The Landmark Preservation Board makes the following specific findings regarding the proposed code amendments. These findings are based upon any public testimony received by the Landmark Preservation Board during the public hearing, information provided to the Landmark Preservation Board by staff, and Landmark Preservation Board deliberations.
- b. The underlying purpose of these code amendments is to strengthen and clarify Title 22 with specific regard to the establishment of historic districts and the process for obtaining a demolition permit for buildings listed on the Historic Resources Inventory.
- c. Requiring all demolition permit applications for properties listed in the city's Historic Resources Inventory, but not eligible for the Bothell Register of Historic Landmarks, to include historical documentation will result in fewer returned applications, faster turnaround times by staff, and better documentation of demolished historic resources.
- d. Requiring only buildings, sites, structures and objects eligible for, or listed in, the Bothell Register of Historic Landmarks to prepare a consideration of alternatives to demolition will speed up the application process for both applicants and staff.
- e. The purpose of historic districts is to preserve the character of areas in the city that contain high concentrations of intact historic buildings, sites, structures or objects. Strengthening and expanding several code sections relevant to the establishment of historic districts will make it possible to nominate historic districts to the Bothell Register of Historic Landmarks which is in line with the goals of the *Imagine Bothell...* comprehensive plan.
- f. The purpose of historic districts is to preserve the character of areas in the city that contain high concentrations of intact historic buildings, sites, structures or objects. A historic district can include both contributing and noncontributing properties, all of which would be subject to historic district design guidelines established as part of the nomination process.
- g. The Landmark Preservation Board finds that a simple majority is adequate for owner consent to establish a historic district and makes the following specific findings:
 - i. The establishment of one or more historic districts is a public benefit and in line with the goals of the *Imagine Bothell...* comprehensive plan;
 - ii. Maintaining the character of Main Street and areas of the city with high concentrations of intact historic buildings is important and in line with the goals of the *Imagine Bothell...* comprehensive plan;

- iii. Design Guidelines proposed for a historic district would be subject to public comment via public meetings and a public hearing;
- iv. Design Guidelines proposed for a historic district would be subject to Planning Commission comment;
- v. Design Guidelines for a historic district would not prevent infill or redevelopment of a property;
- vi. Design Guidelines regulate the aesthetic characteristics of a historic district and are developed specifically for each district
- vii. The purpose of historic districts is to preserve the character of areas in the city that contain high concentrations of intact historic properties and can include both contributing and noncontributing properties, all of which should be subject to historic district guidelines established as part of the nomination process.

7. Applicable *Imagine Bothell...* Comprehensive Plan Goals, Policies and Actions.

The following *Imagine Bothell...* Comprehensive Plan Goals, Policies and Actions support and/or direct the proposed amendments to Title 22: Landmark Preservation:

Historic Preservation Element Goals:

- HP-G1 To honor Bothell's past and provides a perspective for its future by preserving significant historic buildings and archaeological properties and other links to the City's past
- HP-G2 To safeguard the heritage of the City as represented by those buildings, districts, objects, sites and structures which reflect significant elements of the City's history.
- HP-G3 To foster civic and neighborhood pride in the beauty and accomplishments of the past and a sense of identity based on the City's history.
- HP-G4 To stabilize or improve the aesthetic and economic vitality and values of such sites, improvements and objects.
- HP-G5 To assist, encourage and provide incentives to private owners for preservation, restoration, redevelopment and use of outstanding historic buildings, districts, objects, sites and structures.
- HP-G6 To promote and facilitate the early identification and resolution of conflicts between preservation of historic and archaeological resources and alternative land uses.
- HP-G7 To conserve valuable material and energy resources by ongoing use and maintenance of the existing buildings.

Historic Preservation Element Policies:

- HP-P1 Promote the preservation of buildings, sites, objects, and districts which have historic significance for the community through a combination of incentives, regulations and informational activities.

HP-P7 Work with residents and property owners to establish historic districts in areas where historic properties exist or where an area represents a significant connection to Bothell's past.

Historic Preservation Element Actions:

HP-A5 Explore the development of incentives to encourage the preservation or adaptive reuse of properties identified in the Bothell Historic Resources Inventory.

HP-A10 Investigate and bring forth for Landmark Preservation Board, Planning Commission, and City Council consideration a process of nomination/formation of historic districts. Examples of potential historic districts include the Main Street and Eason Avenue areas.

HP-A13 Explore Code amendments which would initiate consideration of a local historic register listing for any nomination to the state or national historic registers.

8. Public Meetings

Public meetings for the proposed amendments were held as follows:

Landmark Preservation Board:

September 27, 2016

March 28, 2017

February 27, 2018

October 15, 2019

November 20, 2019

Planning Commission and Landmark Preservation Board:

November 26, 2019

No public input was received at any of the public meetings.

Two public comments were received via email.

9. Public Notice

Public notice was provided through the following methods:

a. *Imagine Bothell...* notice. The City of Bothell provides a monthly notice to citizens, interested parties and news media which, in general, describes upcoming hearings, the topics of those hearings, and explains potential ramifications of decisions which may occur from actions of the City. This notice is provided at the end of the month for the subsequent month's hearing schedule. The *Imagine Bothell...* notice also contains information which directs inquiries to city staff, the City web page, and telephone contact numbers.

Notice of the public meeting dates for the proposed code amendment was published in the November and December 2019 and January 2020 editions of the *Imagine Bothell...* notice.

b. The *Imagine Bothell...* notice is sent via e-mail and/or regular U.S. Posta Service mail

to all parties who have signed up for the service.

- c. The *Imagine Bothell...* notice is published in the *Seattle Times*, the City's Newspaper of Record
- d. The *Imagine Bothell...* notice is also posted on the City's web page at:

www.bothellwa.gov
- e. The City maintains a number of public notice boards which are placed throughout the City at certain accessible and visible locations. Each of these notice boards contains a plastic box where copies of the notice are stored for retrieval by any interested party.
- f. The *Imagine Bothell...* notice is also publicly posted at City Hall, the Municipal Court Building, and the Bothell Post Office.

10. Department of Commerce Review

The proposed amendments were submitted to the Department of Commerce for Review on January 8, 2020.

11. SEPA review

The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) Responsible Official issued a Determination of Nonsignificance (DNS) on October 3, 2019.

12. List of Exhibits

No outside exhibits were submitted regarding the proposed code amendments. Staff reports were prepared for the Landmark Preservation Board meetings on October 15, 2019 and November 20, 2019 and for the joint study session with the Planning Commission on November 20, 2019.

Meeting packets can be found at: <http://www.ci.bothell.wa.us/AgendaCenter/Historic-Preservation-Landmarks-Commissi-3> and <http://www.bothellwa.gov/AgendaCenter/Planning-Commission-4>

CONCLUSIONS

1. The proposed Code amendments implement, and are consistent with, the *Imagine Bothell...* Comprehensive Plan and consistent with state law.
2. The Bothell Landmark Preservation Board reviewed and commented on the proposed implementing regulations and made revisions where appropriate. Where proposed regulations would have a direct impact on land use, the Board held joint public meetings with the Planning Commission. The Board therefore, with the Commission's concurrence, hereby transmits recommendations for amendments to Title 22 to the City Council
3. The proposed amendments are in the best interest of the public health, safety and welfare.

RECOMMENDATION

Based on these Findings and Conclusions, the Landmark Preservation Board hereby recommends the council approve amendments to implementing regulations contained within BMC Title 22 as depicted in Attachment 1.

Then & Now proposed changes per LPB and Staff comments

Current Contents:

1. *Acknowledgments & Caveats*
 - a. update
2. *Preface*
 - a. update
3. *A Historical Timeline of Bothell*
 - a. *Add/delete a few events to keep it interesting*
4. *Locations of Photographed Sites*
 - a. *Update in accordance with new content- current format will work just change graphics*
5. *Introduction*
 - a. update
6. Main Street
 - a. New “Now” photos, streetscape photos (showing new furnishings and convertible eating spaces), change some of the historical photos to mid-century and/or other period
 - b. Note new Bothell Register property: Washington Federal Savings and Loan
 - c. Tell the story of the fire and The Merc apartments
 - d. Condense Hannan/Masonic building to single page
 - e. Remove full-page layouts if the building is non-contributing and condense if possible
 - f. Focus on Alexa’s Café restored façade and others that made improvements
7. Downtown
 - a. Remove pp.62-64,66-70, 76-84
 - b. Add Dawson Building (focus on Citizen photo), Tack Shack, Allied Pacific Building - possibly put the mid-century layout in this section since these three are all post war
 - c. Add more mid-century?
 - d. Expand City hall pages to include new building
 - i. New City Hall
 - ii. The process, the intent, the artwork, LPB involvement
 - iii. The architects
 - iv. LEED certifications
8. Schools
 - a. Check for mid-century designers
 - b. Possibly remove this section or change the schools to mid-century schools rather than the ones that have been razed
9. Along the Road
 - a. Focus on Snohomish county rather than downtown/south
 - i. Van’s Bulb Farm, The Plantation, Canyon Inn/Canyon Park (2-page at least), Yesler’s Hunting Lodge (and the connection w/Jacobus Log House),
 - b. Focus on “commercial archaeology” ie neon signs, roadhouses, restaurants, auto camps, service stations, etc. Auto camp photos available
 - c. 100-101 Red Brick Road/Military Road/Red Brick Road Park:

- d. Pg.100 photo: to “Automobiles on the Road to Bothell” pre-paving/maybe split
- e. Photo of park w/sculptures or old bus photo?
- f. Pg.101 photo: Military road plaque photo or other photo
- g. 101- Military Road: In the late-1850s, a road was constructed between Fort Vancouver and Fort Bellingham, skirting the swamps of the Sammamish slough, and roughly following the path of the present day Bothell-Everett Highway through Bothell. The road was intended to facilitate the movement of troops and supplies between several forts on the coast of western Washington and American Camp on San Juan Island.
- h. 102-105 Remove Wayne Curve
- i. Shrink Kaysner house or remove
- j. Remove Green Residence?
- k. Remove Ericksen Motors/Schucks p.110-111?
- l. Safeway/Ericksen/Shag?

10. Historic Register Sites

- a. Change to a two- or four-page layout with lists and small selected photos rather than pages for each resource

11. Transportation

- a. Remove or find new items
- b. Combine with Along the Road?

12. Celebrations and Events

- a. Remove?
- b. Any other fun or noteworthy events? Different photos??
- c. Maybe some 1930s and later events?
- d. Possibly remove section to make room for new sections

13. References- update

14. Index- update

15. Add Chapters:

Chapter on Modern Resources - “Bothell Modern” or “Mid-Century Bothell”

Benjamin McAdoo buildings

Bothell First Baptist – McAdoo (NW corner of 104th and 195th)

McAdoo House – 17823 88th Ave NE

MPI building (demolished)

Bothell First Baptist Church (extensively remodeled)

Kenmore Hardware (out of area)

Harold Keeney, Jr. buildings

Medical building- Dunsten

Houses - tbd

St. Brendan’s – John Maloney

First Lutheran? (already in the book) – or maybe section on Modern churches

Modern on Main: Sevenich insurance (new plaza), Wells Fargo, Sears bldg., Tsuga (Loretta's), Gallo De Oro

Chapter on McMenamins Anderson School

Community perspective – the fight to save the buildings

McMenamins process

McMenamins as a company

Include some interior photos and local connections in artwork, room names, etc.

Add Riverside Drive Then & Now?

Add Monte Villa farm/Quadrant Business park

Add UW/Truly Farm/Wetlands

Add Horse Creek daylighting – then and now?

Misc. General notes

Make the book a supplement to, rather than a replacement for, so people will purchase the second one

Change as many photos as possible and change visual appearance of the book

Focus on mid-century photos rather than turn-of-the-century photos

Update current streetscape photos and “Now” photos

Generally focus on mid-century and the future to differentiate the book from the first one

Book name change? Bothell: Past, Present, Future or something like that?

Remove large photos of resources with incompatible and generally unattractive alterations

Incorporate Pat's changes (typos, corrections, etc.)

Add more resources from Snohomish County

If we can get more Snohomish County into it you could apply for a grant next year for part of the cost.

Main Street

The fire, Bothell Mall

Street enhancements, bricks/plaza, street extension, revitalization- Keeney did the 1980s curvy street

Storefront Studio- possibly incorporate their drawings with permission or an article about it

Alexa's new facade

New Landmarks – Bothell Jewelers

Mercantile Apartments

Same format – north side/south side, building by building? Or new format?

Choose different businesses and people to highlight

Downtown

Add Dawson building to library pages, focus on Dawson and Citizen photo

Removals/adjustments

Change some 4-page and 2-page layouts to one or 2-pages like on pg. 27 (register properties, fire dept., Pop Keeney, non-register/non- Mid-century Main Street properties,) **no tiny photos/too busy pgs.

Remove or reduce demolished resources (78-79, 80-81, 82-83)

Small section on the Park at Bothell Landing/ remove from Main Street to focus on mid-century & future

Add Swedish Cemetery restoration?